



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,358	04/01/2004	Carl H. Schulman	0075146-000002	8273

21839 7590 10/20/2008
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC
POST OFFICE BOX 1404
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

EXAMINER

TRAN, HANH VAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3637

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

10/20/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the Final Office Action from the examiner in charge of this application in response to applicant's amendment dated 2/12/2008.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 31, 34, and 38 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 6/24/08.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 11 and 36 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 11, last line, ""horizontal access first position" should be "horizontal access position". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1, 11, 21, 23, 31, 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In each independent claim, "stationary top" lacks antecedent basis, thus indefinite. Claim 21, the preamble clearly indicates a subcombination is being claimed. Therefore, the positive recitation of the drawer rear-end portion "engage" the housing top renders the claim indefinite for failing

Art Unit: 3637

to clearly define whether applicant's intent is to claim the support assembly alone or in combination with the housing. Clarification and amendment to the claim is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

8. Claims 21, 23, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 427,217 to Bancroft in view of USP 5,199,773 to Price, Jr. et al.

Bancroft discloses a furniture construction comprising all the elements recited in the above listed claims including: a housing defining an interior that is at least partially enclosed; means defining an angled opening in an upper portion of the housing; a support assembly including a platform 9 having an upstanding rear-end portion, at least one roller 13 mounted on the housing near the angled opening to enable the platform 9 to move angularly through the opening until the drawer pivots under its weight into a substantially horizontal position; a stop forming a part of the interior of the housing adjacent to the angled opening; and the platform 9 including a top portion 12. The

Art Unit: 3637

different being that Bancroft fails to disclose a drawer having two upstanding sidewalls, instead the platform having only a bottom wall and an upstanding rear wall.

However, Price, Jr. et al teaches the idea of providing a furniture construction with a housing defining an interior, and a support assembly comprising a drawer movable between a first position that is generally horizontal and a second position that is at a downwardly inclined angle at least partially within the interior of the housing; wherein the drawer facilitates moving of article placed thereon between the first and second positions. In view of the teaching of Price, Jr. et al, it would have been obvious to modify the structure of Bancroft by replacing the platform 9 with a drawer having two upstanding sidewalls as well as an upstanding rear wall in order to facilitate moving of article placed thereon between the first and second position, as taught by Price, Jr. et al, since both teach alternate conventional structure of a furniture construction with a housing, used for same intended purpose of supporting article thereon, thereby providing structure as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 1, 11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

10. Claims 35, 36 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HANH V. TRAN whose telephone number is (571)272-6868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, and alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HVT

October 13, 2008

/Hanh V. Tran/
Examiner, Art Unit 3637